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INTRODUCTION

As part of a working project led by NYU School of Medicine’s Institutional Review Board and Clinical and Translational Science Institute (CTSI), various experts from research institutions across the country were asked to develop standardized best practices for successfully implementing the NIH’s sIRB model.

Our unified solution, the sIRB Alliance, was established thanks to the efforts of key stakeholders at NIH’s sIRB model.

A full list of collaborators, as well as the chosen solutions and information on future projects can be found on our website, SIRBAlliance.org.

PURPOSE

The sIRB Alliance aims to establish consensus on standardization of relevant policies, procedures, and information technology to support broad adoption of the NIH’s sIRB model. A universally accepted approach for review facilitates efficient study set up. Implementation of these solutions will reduce variations in sIRB review and enable institutions across the country to carry out the NIH’s sIRB model.

Through structured surveys, working groups, and consensus meetings, the sIRB Alliance worked with the IRB community on standardizing sIRB practices intended to guide processes for sIRB review of NIH-funded multisite studies. Informed by the results of an initial survey polling 105 unique institutions, the sIRB Alliance at NYU School of Medicine invited individuals from CTSAs and non-CTSA sites to address local context issues in a series of working group teleconferences.

The working groups characterized a range of practices to be considered for adoption as best practices in several areas. For each topic related to standardization of the sIRB best practices, two options were provided in a consensus vote on by convened working groups:

- **Standard Approach**: plee accept sIRB standards with no local IRB review. (local IRB will not review instruments)
- **Hybrid Approach**: plee accept some sIRB standards after local IRB review. (local IRB will review instruments and may modify instruments for the local environment)

EXAMPLES OF RESULTS

- **Informed Consent Form (Hybrid Approach Recommended)**
  - No response: 3%
  - Standard Approach: 35%
  - Hybrid Approach: 62%

- **Reliance Agreements (Hybrid Approach Recommended)**
  - No response: 3%
  - Standard Approach: 55%
  - Hybrid Approach: 45%

- **RNI Reporting Process (Standard Approach Recommended)**
  - No response: 5%
  - Standard Approach: 45%
  - Hybrid Approach: 29%

METHOD

To develop these standards, the sIRB Alliance enlisted the expertise throughout the country with a structured survey and working group meetings. Working groups were asked to weigh in on three areas included:

- Rules and Responsibilities for sIRB and Local (relying) sites
- Informed Consent
- Subject Complaints
- Ancillary Studies
- Study Team Training & Qualifications
- Data Safety Monitoring
- Vulnerable Populations
- Financial Conflict of Interest

Moving forward, the sIRB Alliance has additional consensus-building projects in the works, including:

- Standardizing Key terms for Electronic submission
- Developing middleware to enable seamless communication among electronic review submission systems
- Creating a national index of key terms for electronic review submission

RESULTS

A consensus survey was distributed to the national IRB community upon selection of two approaches to each topic by working groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Hybrid Approach Recommended</th>
<th>Standard Approach Recommended</th>
<th>No response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informed Consent Form</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliance Agreements</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNI Reporting Process</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hybrid or fully standardized were the preferred approaches to standardizing these topics, with 11 out of 12 solutions receiving consensus on a hybrid or standard approach.

CONCLUSIONS

These findings demonstrate that establishment of consensus for standardization of best practices in support of the sIRB model remains a challenge. More work is required to engage all stakeholders in the conversation and encourage new standardized approaches to realize the full potential and benefits of the sIRB model.

MOVING FORWARD

The sIRB Alliance has additional consensus-building projects in the works, including:

- Standardizing Key terms for Electronic submission
- An upcoming consensus-building initiative to build a national index of key terms for electronic review submission.
- Middleware: A free-to-download system that would allow electronic submission systems to communicate seamlessly among institutions of varying sizes, means, and electronic submission systems.

Contact us at irb-info@nyulangone.org or 212-263-4110