Cortical thickness abnormalities associated with dyslexia, independent of remediation status
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1. Introduction

Developmental dyslexia is a neurological condition characterized by difficulties in reading-related tasks such as word recognition and spelling in spite of normal intelligence, adequate education and motivation to read proficiently (Lyon et al., 2003). Structural MRI approaches (see Richlan et al. (2013) and Linkersdörfer et al. (2012) for meta-analyses) have identified abnormalities associated with dyslexia in regions within the reading network (Pugh et al., 2000a). Whether alteration in cortical structure reflects pathology inherent to dyslexia or environmental influence (e.g., impoverished reading experience or compensatory changes) remains unclear.

Prior studies have addressed this question using MRI measures of gray matter volume (GMV). Raschle et al. (2011) reported that pre-reading children with familial history of dyslexia have less GMV within the reading network, relative to control children without a familial history of dyslexia. This finding suggests that structural brain anomalies in dyslexia are present before reading experience rather than experience-dependent. In contrast, Krafnick et al. (2014) showed that GMV in multiple regions, including the left temporal cortex, is reduced in dyslexic children relative to age-matched controls, but not relative to reading-level-matched younger controls. The authors concluded that GMV differences in dyslexia are related to the level of current reading ability, which partially reflects the impoverished reading experience in dyslexics, rather than dyslexia per se.
Alternative measurements of cortical gray matter to GMV include cortical thickness (CT) and surface area (SA). Both CT and SA are highly heritable (Joshi et al., 2011; Panizzon et al., 2009; Rimol et al., 2010) and can delineate genetic influences on brain structure with more precision than GMV (Winkler et al., 2010). Both can be potential markers for neurodevelopmental disorders (Hazlett et al., 2011; Narr et al., 2009). In addition, CT can be affected by life experience, such as training (Engvig et al., 2010; Lazar et al., 2005). Thus far, few studies have examined CT and SA variations associated with dyslexia (Altarelli et al., 2013; Altarelli et al., 2014; Frye et al., 2010; Kuschh et al., 1993). Here, we examined CT, SA and GMV to identify structural abnormalities in subgroups of dyslexia with different levels of reading ability. We used an observational design and tested remediated (i.e., normalized reading ability) and non-remediated dyslexia subgroups, as well as an age-, gender-, handedness-, and IQ-matched typically developing comparison group. If structural abnormalities are present in all subgroups with a history of dyslexia, relative to controls, this would suggest persistent cortical abnormalities that characterize dyslexia, irrespective of current reading ability. Such findings could potentially serve as early and reliable cortical markers of dyslexia in children. By contrast, abnormal CT, SA or GMV only in the non-remediated group, but not in the remediated groups would reflect the effect of current reading impairments, and thus support environmental effects (e.g., impoverished reading experience, which may be normalized in the remediated groups).

Hypothesizing that cortical abnormalities are inherent to dyslexia (Galaburda et al., 1985; Roschle et al., 2011), we predicted that altered patterns of CT, SA and/or GMV, if present, could be found across all dyslexia subgroups, regardless of remediation status. We also addressed a long-lasting question regarding the absence of a leftward structural asymmetry in the dyslexia brain (Galaburda et al., 1985; Kuschh et al., 1993; Larsen et al., 1990; Leonard et al., 2001). In addition, since CT is a measure genetically and phenotypically independent from SA and GMV (Dickerson et al., 2009; Lemaître et al., 2012; Panizzon et al., 2009; Winkler et al., 2010), we expected that CT findings would generally diverge from other measures. Finally, we evaluated whether there was an additive effect of dyslexia and remediation on gray matter structure for each surface-based metric by testing for differential effects in each remediation subgroup (i.e., whether the largest gray matter abnormalities are found in the non-remediated subgroup).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

Children with a history of dyslexia (“Dys”) were identical to those published previously by Koyama et al. (2013), except for one participant excluded due to severe artifacts in the T1 image. They were native English speakers (n = 32), recruited through referrals from the clinical services at The Child Study Center at New York University Langone Medical Center and the New York International Dyslexia Association. Inclusion was based on parental report of prior diagnosis of reading disorder in accordance with DSM-IV or ICD-10, and prior written documentation. We also investigated history of previous or current DSM-IV-TR diagnoses other than dyslexia through informal interviews with parents and by reviewing prior clinical evaluations whenever available. Three out of the 32 children were diagnosed with ADHD.

Based on the current literacy competence level, measured by the Wechsler Individual Achievement Test—Second Edition (WIAT) (Wechsler, 2001), children with a history of dyslexia were sub-divided into three groups: (1) children with current deficits in both reading and spelling (“Dys-N”: Dyslexia with no remediation, n = 10), (2) children with a previous diagnosis of dyslexia but exhibiting no current reading deficit (“Dys-R”: Dyslexia with reading remediation, n = 11), and (3) children with a previous diagnosis of dyslexia but exhibiting no current deficits in either reading or spelling (“Dys-RS”: Dyslexia with reading and spelling remediation, n = 11). A reading or spelling deficit was defined as a current standard score below 85 (i.e., one standard deviation below the norm) on the WIAT Word Reading or Spelling subscales. Information from parental report (and supporting documentation when available) confirmed that none of the children in the Dys-N group had a history of targeted dyslexia intervention training prior to the current study, while all children in the Dys-R and Dys-RS groups had been in one or more targeted programs (e.g., the Orton Gillingham approach, http://www.ortonacademy.org; Wilson Language Training, http://www.wilsonlanguage.com; or various school intervention efforts). Information from prior written documentation verified a history of literacy impairment in all children in the remediation groups (standard scores lower than 85 on any type of standardized literacy test prior to remediation), and provided evidence that the majority of these children had exhibited phonological deficits.

Typically developing children (TDC, n = 32), who were native speakers of English, were selected as controls from a larger pool of children participating in ongoing studies at NYU Child Study Center. All children in the TDC group exhibited intact reading and spelling skills with both WIAT Word Reading and Spelling scores above 85. No previous or current DSM-IV-TR diagnoses were found based on the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children—Present and Lifetime Version (KSADS-PL) (Kaufman et al., 1996), which was administered to parents and child participants separately.

The Dys and the TDC groups were group-matched on age (overall mean age = 12.1 ± 2.3 years: range = 7.7–16 years), gender, estimated full-scale IQ and handedness. Full-scale IQ was estimated with the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI) (Wechsler, 1999); all participants had full-scale IQ above 85. Subgroups within the Dys group were also matched on the same variables. Table 1 provides demographic and cognitive measures for the Dys and the TDC groups. Table 2 provides demographic and cognitive measures for the three subgroups within the Dys group.

2.2. MRI data acquisition

MRI data were collected on a Siemens Allegra 3 T scanner at the New York University Center for Brain Imaging. We acquired a high-resolution T1-weighted volume for each participant (TR = 2530 ms; TE = 3.25 ms; TI = 1100 ms; flip angle = 7°; 128 slices; field of view = 256 mm; voxel size = 1.3 × 1 × 1 mm).

2.3. Surface reconstruction and neuroanatomical measurements

FreeSurfer (5.1.0) software package (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) was used to reconstruct cortical surfaces of each participant from the MRI scans. Main steps included (1) Talairach registration, (2) intensity normalization, (3) skull stripping, (4) white matter segmentation, (5) generation, refinement and tessellation of the white matter surface (i.e., the boundary between gray and white matter), (6) deformation of the white matter surface into the pial surface (i.e., the boundary between the gray matter and the cerebrospinal fluid) and (7) automatic correction of topological defects. Details of these steps are described elsewhere (Dale et al., 1999; Fischl et al., 1999, 2001). To ensure accuracy of the reconstruction, we also inspected and manually edited the reconstructed surfaces whenever necessary during the process. All inspection and editing were performed by one trained operator to avoid variability introduced by multiple raters.

CT at each vertex was measured as the average of the shortest distances from this vertex to the opposing surface, and to this vertex from the opposing surface (Fischl and Dale, 2000); SA at each vertex was measured as the average number of tessellation units surrounding it (Winkler et al., 2012). GMV at each vertex was the product of CT and SA. For group comparisons of CT, SA and GMV, cortical surfaces of each participant were registered based on folding patterns to a spherical coordinate system (Fischl et al., 1999). Individual CT, SA and GMV maps were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel (10 mm FWHM) before analysis.
comparison. For group comparisons of asymmetry indices (AI), an interhemispheric registration procedure was adopted to register surfaces of both left and right hemispheres to a symmetrical template (Greve et al., 2013). Individual CT, SA, and GMV maps were then smoothed with a Gaussian kernel (10 mm FWHM). Asymmetry indices were constructed as (left – right) / (left + right) and calculated vertex-wise for each measure. A positive AI value indicated leftward lateralization and a negative value indicated rightward lateralization. Fig. 1 is an example of an AI map based on CT from one participant.

2.4. Regions-of-interest (ROI) and cortical mask

We selected 11 ROIs within cortical areas that have been reported to show gray matter abnormalities in dyslexia (Altarelli et al., 2013; Eckert, 2004; Kronbichler et al., 2008; Pernet et al., 2009). Using an anatomical parcellation atlas (Destrieux et al., 2010), we examined the following regions: (1) inferior frontal gyrus pars opercularis (IFGOp), (2) inferior frontal gyrus pars triangularis (IFGTr), (4) Heschl's gyrus (HG), (5) the superior temporal gyrus (STG), (6) planum polare (PP), (7) planum temporale (PT), (8) supramarginal gyrus (SMAR), (9) angular gyrus (AG), (10) fusiform gyrus (FFG), and (11) inferior occipital gyrus (IOG). The regions were then combined into one cortical mask for each hemisphere for vertex-wise analysis. Fig. 2 shows the Destrieux atlas, the cortical ROIs, and the mask overlaid on the inflated white matter surface of the left hemisphere.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Alterations in CT, SA, GMV, and their lateralization associated with a history of dyslexia were investigated with vertex-wise t tests between the TDC and the Dys groups within the cortical mask (vertex-wise alpha = .05). Significance maps were corrected for multiple comparisons using cluster-based Monte-Carlo simulation with 10,000 iterations of randomly generated z maps within the mask (cluster-wise alpha = .05) (Hagler et al., 2006). Group-wise comparison between each dyslexia subgroup and the TDC group was then performed in significance levels found through this approach to further confirm our prediction that such alteration was present across all dyslexia subgroups regardless of remediation status. Possible additional effects of remediation on gray matter structures were tested by vertex-wise ANOVA between the dyslexia subgroups on each measure and its lateralization within the mask. Significant maps were corrected for multiple comparisons using the same cluster-based Monte-Carlo simulation. Finally, to consider abnormalities outside the cortical mask, we conducted vertex-wise whole-brain analyses following the same procedure for the masked analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Masked analysis results

3.1.1. Cortical thickness

Vertex-wise t tests of CT within the cortical mask revealed that the Dys group had significantly thicker cortex than the TDC group in a cluster in the left fusiform gyrus (centroid MNI: −32, −46, −20, cluster-wise p = .006) and a cluster in the right superior temporal gyrus, extending into the planum temporale (centroid MNI: 61, −28, 11, cluster-wise p = .0001).

In the left fusiform gyrus cluster, mean CT of the Dys group was significantly larger than the TDC group (Mean_Dys = 3.05 mm, Mean_TDC = 2.86 mm, t(62) = −3.654, p = .001, 95%CI = [−0.294, −0.086] mm). Group-wise comparisons of mean CT between the TDC (Mean_TDC = 2.86 mm) and the three dyslexia subgroups confirmed significant increase in CT in the Dys-R (Mean_Dys-R = 3.02 mm, t(41) = −2.13, p = .037, 95%CI = [−0.30, −0.01] mm) and Dys-RS (Mean_Dys-RS = 3.13 mm, t(41) = −2.65, p = .001, 95%CI = [−0.41, −0.11] mm), and marginally significant increase in the Dys-N group (Mean_Dys-N = 3.01 mm, t(40) = −1.95, p = .056, 95%CI = [−0.298, 0.004] mm).

In the right superior temporal gyrus cluster, mean CT of the Dys group was significantly larger than the TDC group (Mean_Dys = 3.26 mm, Mean_TDC = 3.05 mm, t(62) = −4.025, p < .001, 95%CI = [−0.313, −0.105] mm). Group-wise comparisons of mean CT revealed CT increase in Dys-N (Mean_Dys-N = 3.31 mm, t(40) = −3.40, p = .001, 95%CI = [−0.41, −0.11] mm), Dys-R (Mean_Dys-R = 3.23 mm, t(41) = −2.39, p = .020, 95%CI = [−0.32, −0.03] mm) and Dys-RS (Mean_Dys-RS = 3.25 mm, t(41) = −2.70, p = .009, 95%CI = [−0.35, −0.05] mm) groups as compared to the TDC group. See Figs. 3 and 4 for a summary of findings.

Vertex-wise ANOVA among the dyslexia subgroups revealed no additional effect of remediation on CT.

3.1.2. Cortical thickness asymmetry

Vertex-wise t tests of AI based on CT within the cortical mask revealed a significant group difference in lateralization in the superior temporal gyrus. This cluster overlaps with the right superior temporal gyrus cluster (Fig. 4), where the Dys group had thicker cortex than the TDC group in the right hemisphere (centroid MNI: 60, −36, 9, cluster-
deviation from normal lateralization pattern in the Dys group for this cluster was driven by increased CT in the right hemisphere rather than decreased CT in the left hemisphere.

Group-wise comparisons of mean Al for the superior temporal gyrus cluster between the TDC and the three dyslexia subgroups confirmed that rightward asymmetry in CT was present in the Dys-N (Mean_Dys-N = −.027, t(40) = 2.05, p = .045, 95%CI = [0.007, .064]), Dys-R (Mean_Dys-R = −.052, t(41) = 3.78, p < .001, 95%CI = [.027, .088]) and Dys-RS (Mean_Dys-RS = −.026, t(41) = 2.06, p = .044, 95%CI = [.009, .062]) subgroups. See Fig. 5 for a summary of findings.

Vertex-wise ANOVA among the dyslexia subgroups revealed no additional effect of remediation on CT lateralization.

3.1.3. Surface area, gray matter volume, and lateralization indices

Vertex-wise t test within the cortical mask detected no significant differences in either SA or GMV between the Dys and the TDC groups. Similarly, no significant group difference was observed in any lateralization index. Null findings for SA, GMV, and their lateralization were not tested across dyslexia subgroups. Vertex-wise ANOVA among dyslexia subgroups also failed to detect changes in these measures associated with remediation.

3.2. Whole-brain analysis results

Vertex-wise whole-brain analyses revealed only one significant cluster with abnormal cortical thickness increase in the right superior temporal gyrus, extending into the planum temporale, middle temporal gyrus, posterior Sylvian fissure, Heschl’s gyrus and supramarginal gyrus (centroid MNI: 55.6, −26.2, 2.5, cluster-wise p = .0001). This cluster encompasses the right superior temporal gyrus cluster in the masked analysis (Fig. 4). Similar to the masked analysis results, in this cluster, mean CT of the Dys group was significantly larger than the TDC group (Mean_Dys = 3.13 mm, Mean_TDC = 2.92 mm, t(40) = 4.58, p < .001, 95%CI = [−.29, −.012] mm). Group-wise comparisons of mean CT revealed CT increase in Dys-N (Mean_Dys-N = 3.16 mm, t(40) = 4.768, p < .001, 95%CI = [−.13, −.027] mm), Dys-R (Mean_Dys-R = 3.10 mm, t(41) = 2.99, p < .001, 95%CI = [−.11, −.05] mm) and Dys-RS (Mean_Dys-RS = 3.12 mm, t(41) = 2.78, p = .008, 95%CI = [.009, .062]).
$p < .001, 95\% CI = [-.31, -.09]$ mm) groups as compared to the TDC group. See Fig. 6 for a summary of findings.

4. Discussion

Our findings demonstrate that children with dyslexia, irrespective of remediation status, exhibit cortical thickness (CT) abnormalities in the left fusiform gyrus and the right superior temporal gyrus, extending into the right planum temporale. The CT increase in the right superior temporal gyrus contributed to a rightward asymmetry of a smaller area in the posterior superior temporal gyrus. No abnormalities were identified by either surface area (SA) or gray matter volume (GMV). This is consistent with prior studies that suggest CT as a phenotypic measure that is independent from GMV and SA (Dickerson et al., 2009; Lemaitre et al., 2012; Panizzon et al., 2009; Winkler et al., 2010). The consistency of the observed effects across three different dyslexia subgroups, irrespective of remediation status, suggests that our findings should generalize to children with a history of dyslexia diagnosis, regardless of reading level. This supports that cortical thickness abnormalities, at least in the temporal and occipitotemporal regions, are inherent to dyslexia.

4.1. Left fusiform gyrus cortical thickness increase in dyslexia

Our finding of increased CT in the left fusiform gyrus indicates persistent abnormalities in the ventral reading pathway in dyslexia. The left fusiform gyrus cluster we identified contains the Visual Word Form Area, a region critical for visual word processing (Cohen et al., 2000, 2002). This region may also play a role in object naming (McCrary et al., 2005) and phonological decoding (e.g., sounding out the spoken representation of a written word) (Desroches et al., 2010; Dietz et al., 2005). Abnormalities associated with the left fusiform gyrus in dyslexia include decreased GMV (Kronbichler et al., 2008; Raschle et al., 2011) and altered activation during reading-related tasks (Aylward et al., 2003; McCrary et al., 2005; Pugh et al., 2000a; Richlan et al., 2009, 2010). Our finding extends the range of dyslexia-associated anomalies in this region to include abnormal CT increase.

A previous study by Altarelli et al. (2013) detected dyslexia-related CT reduction in a subregion [MNI: $-42, -48, -15$] of the left occipitotemporal region that overlaps with our left fusiform gyrus finding. The mean age of this study sample was comparable to ours, although differences were present in girls but not boys in their study. To facilitate comparison with our findings, we performed a 2 (dyslexia, control) by 2 (girls, boys) ANOVA on mean CT of the left fusiform gyrus cluster in our sample to test for an interaction (see Supplementary Results 1). Our analysis revealed no differential effect by gender; abnormal CT increase was present in both boys and girls.

Abnormal increase in CT may result from a failure of myelination or synaptic pruning during a critical period of development. The age range of our sample (7–16) corresponds to a period of brain maturation in which wide-spread cortical thinning accompanies myelination and synaptic pruning (Sowell et al., 2004). In a sample of individuals ranging in age from 9 to 23, thinner cortex in the fusiform gyrus is associated with stronger verbal fluency (Porter et al., 2011). Similarly, in adults, thinner cortex in this region is associated with stronger performance on a phonetically irregular word reading test (Blackmon et al., 2010). Children

---

**Fig. 3.** Cortical thickness (CT) increase in the left fusiform gyrus in dyslexia revealed by the masked analysis. Left: cluster in the left fusiform gyrus with significantly thicker cortex in the Dys than the TDC group (centroid MNI: $-32, -46, -20$, cluster-wise $p = .0006$). Middle: boxplot and stripchart of mean CT of the significant cluster in the two group comparison. Right: boxplot and stripchart of mean CT of the significant cluster in the TDC and the three dyslexia subgroups. TDC = typically developing children, Dys = dyslexia, Dys-N = dyslexia with no remediation, Dys-R = dyslexia with reading remediation, Dys-RS = dyslexia with reading and spelling remediation, LH = left hemisphere. ***$p < .001$, **$p < .01$, *$p < .05$.

**Fig. 4.** Cortical thickness (CT) increase in the right superior temporal gyrus in dyslexia revealed by the masked analysis. Left: cluster in the right superior temporal gyrus with significantly thicker cortex in the Dys than the TDC group (centroid MNI: $-28, 11$, cluster-wise $p = .0001$). Middle: boxplot and stripchart of mean cortical thickness of the significant cluster in the two group comparison. Right: boxplot and stripchart of mean CT of the significant cluster in the TDC and the three dyslexia subgroups. TDC = typically developing children, Dys = dyslexia, Dys-N = dyslexia with no remediation, Dys-R = dyslexia with reading remediation, Dys-RS = dyslexia with reading and spelling remediation, RH = right hemisphere. ***$p < .001$, **$p < .01$, *$p < .05$. 
with dyslexia may show disruption or delay of developmental pruning, potentially leading to thicker cortex. To investigate possible age-related differences in our dyslexia sample relative to controls, we performed supplementary analyses of the interaction between age and group on CT in each significant cluster [i.e., left fusiform cluster, right superior temporal gyrus cluster, and the superior temporal gyrus cluster with significant AI difference between groups (see Supplementary Results 2)]. There were no interactions between age and group in any of these clusters. Furthermore, there was evidence for a decrease in CT as a function of age in the right superior temporal gyrus cluster in the Dys group, which is consistent with typical growth trajectories for this age range (Shaw et al., 2006, 2008; Sowell et al., 2004; Tannen et al., 2010). Although these results should be interpreted with caution given our cross-sectional data, they rule out age-related effects as the primary factor driving the observed group differences. To scrutinize factors underlying increased left fusiform CT in dyslexia, a future study should employ a longitudinal approach to examine developmental changes in CT from the pre-reading period.

4.2. Rightward cortical thickness lateralization of the superior temporal gyrus in dyslexia

In all three dyslexia subgroups, we found increased CT in the right superior temporal gyrus, extending into the planum temporale, which resulted in deviation from normal lateralization pattern in a smaller area within this region. Whole-brain analysis revealed that abnormally increased CT extended into the surrounding areas including the posterior or Sylvian fissure and perisylvian regions.

The superior temporal region is involved in auditory processing, the disruption of which is directly relevant to dyslexia (Eckert, 2004; Eckert and Leonard, 2000; Eden and Zeffiro, 1998). Increase in CT and deviation from normal lateralization pattern in this area may reflect disruption in functional networks supporting auditory processing. Functional imaging studies of dyslexia support this notion: there is hyperactivation in the right superior temporal gyrus, accompanied by underactivation in the left posterior temporal and inferior parietal regions in dyslexic children and adults (Rumsey et al., 1992; Simos et al., 2000, 2002). Similarly, a functional connectivity study showed increased connectivity with the right temporoparietal region (i.e., adjacent to our right superior temporal gyrus cluster), together with decreased connectivity with the left temporal region, during both rest and tasks (Schurz et al., 2014). Thus, our findings indicate that deviation from normal language organization in dyslexia might be reflected by increased right hemisphere CT and subsequently abnormal rightward CT lateralization in the superior temporal region.

Our study revealed CT symmetry in typically developing children and rightward asymmetry in children with dyslexia. Previous studies have reported leftward asymmetry in SA/GMV in both typically developing children and neurologically normal adults, with symmetry or rightward asymmetry in individuals with dyslexia (Altarelli et al., 2014; Galaburda et al., 1985; Kushch et al., 1993; Larsen et al., 1990). These seemingly inconsistent findings are not surprising, but rather emphasize that CT is independent from SA and GMV (Dickerson et al., 2009; Lemaitre et al., 2012; Winkler et al., 2010) with its own lateralization pattern. Further support for our results comes from studies on CT asymmetry in the general population, which have not found asymmetry in either typically developing children or healthy adults in our superior
temporal gyrus cluster (Luders et al., 2006; Shaw et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2013). Combined with previous studies, our finding indicates relative increase in the right hemisphere cortical structures as compared to the left hemisphere in dyslexia.

Previous studies suggest that activation of the right perisylvian region during language tasks is functional in dyslexia, compensating for disruptions in the left hemisphere language network (Pugh et al., 2000a,b; Rumsey et al., 1999). Right angular gyrus cerebral blood flow during single-word reading tasks is positively correlated with reading scores in dyslexia, and negatively correlated in controls (Rumsey et al., 1999). However, given the absence of remediation effects on brain structure in our study, it is not likely that findings reflect compensatory synaptogenesis and neuronal growth in the right hemisphere superior temporal gyrus. This does not mean that compensatory functional network changes are not present in this region, only that they do not appear to be accompanied by macroscopic structural changes (Eden et al., 2004; Temple et al., 2003).

That abnormalities persist regardless of remediation status indicates that deviation from normal superior temporal asymmetry in the dyslexia group is more suggestive of an anatomical anomaly associated with a history of dyslexia rather than compensatory brain growth. Similar rightward anomalies were recently found in a sample of children with dyslexia of comparable age to our sample, although results were limited to a rightward asymmetry in SA, not CT, and were found in boys but not girls (Altarelli et al., 2014). Deviation from normal planum temporale asymmetry occurs in approximately 35% of the postmortem brains of neurologically normal adults and does not identify a reading disorder in isolation (Geschwind and Levitsky, 1968). However, Galaburda et al. (1985) argued that this finding, in combination with left hemisphere cortical anomalies, might increase the likelihood of a developmental reading disorder. This “double hit” hypothesis is supported by our macro-structural MRI findings.

4.3. Normal surface area, gray matter volume and their lateralization in dyslexia

Our study detected no abnormalities in SA, GMV, or their lateralization in individuals with a history of dyslexia, although we adopted widely accepted procedures for semi-automated morphometric analysis of high resolution MRI scans (Clarkson et al., 2011; Dewey et al., 2010; Ghosh et al., 2010; Pantazis et al., 2010) and controlled for confounding variables including age, gender, handedness and IQ. This suggests that negative results should not be attributed to novel methodology or sample confounds. Also, the lack of remediation effect on these measures reduces the likelihood that variation introduced by remediation has confounded the results.

The absence of abnormalities associated with SA, GMV, and their lateralization is inconsistent with previous positive findings (Altarelli et al., 2014; Galaburda et al., 1985; Kushch et al., 1993; Larsen et al., 1990; Leonard et al., 2001; Richlan et al., 2013). However, convergence between gray matter abnormality findings has been limited as is pointed out by Richlan et al. (2013), and no net differences between dyslexia and typically developing children in GMV were detected in one study with comparable sample size to ours (Pernet et al., 2009). Similarly, normal SA and GMV lateralization in dyslexia has been reported by recent studies (Best and Demb, 1999; Eckert et al., 2003; Eckert and Leonard, 2000; Heiervang et al., 2000; Leonard et al., 1993; Leonard et al., 2001; Pernet et al., 2009; Preis et al., 1998; Robichon et al., 2000; Rumsey et al., 1997; Schütz et al., 1994). Such inconsistency across studies, along with our findings of abnormalities in CT and its lateralization associated with a history of dyslexia, supports the notion that dyslexia is associated with multiple neural risk factors (Eckert and Leonard, 2000).

Our findings showing differences in dyslexia are restricted to CT. This is in accord with the notion that CT and SA are independent measures (Dickerson et al., 2009; Lemaître et al., 2012; Winkler et al., 2010) and that GMV is influenced more by the latter than by the former (Frye et al., 2010; Kapellou et al., 2006; Winkler et al., 2010).

4.4. No effect of remediation on cortical structures in dyslexia

In our study, no changes in cortical structure associated with remediation were detected. This is in contrast to prior research showing that remediation is associated with changes in task-related brain activity (Aylward et al., 2003; Richards et al., 2000; Shaywitz et al., 2004; Simos et al., 2002, 2007; Temple et al., 2003), intrinsic functional connectivity (Koyama et al., 2013), white matter integrity (Keller and Just, 2009), and GMV (Krafick et al., 2011). We propose several factors that might have contributed to the lack of differences in gray matter associated with remediation status in our study. First, functional and structural MRI findings do not necessarily correspond. Specifically, changes in brain functioning may not be accompanied by macroscopic changes in cortical structure (Haier et al., 2009). Second, the variety of interventions adopted by our participants, which likely affects multiple brain regions (Démonet et al., 2004), may have diluted focal effects, such as those observed in prior studies (Krafick et al., 2011). Third, gray matter changes that are observed shortly after remediation training may be reversed when assessed after a long delay, although improvement in reading skills persists (Driemeyer et al., 2008). Finally, the smaller sample size of our remediation subgroups may have prevented more subtle effects of remediation from being detected. Our findings do not rule out remediation effect on cortical structure in dyslexia, but emphasize the presence of cortical abnormalities that persist despite remediation.

4.5. Limitations

Several limitations should be kept in mind when interpreting the findings of our study. First, we matched participant groups on age, gender, handedness and IQ to prevent the confounding effect of these factors. However, the distributions of these variables often differ between children with dyslexia and typically developing children (Eglinton and Annett, 1994; Stuebing et al., 2002); therefore, our findings should be considered generalizable to children with dyslexia who are righthanded and have an IQ within normal limits. Second, while our study reveals focal regions of abnormal CT associated with a history of dyslexia, the cellular and cytoarchitectonic nature of these abnormalities remains unknown. Prior histological studies suggest the presence of cortical ectopias and dysplasia (Galaburda et al., 1985; Humphreys et al., 1990) but these studies are few in number and require updating with more recent classification schemes for focal cortical dysplasia (Blümcke et al., 2011). Such work would provide critical information for comparing the features of cortical malformations across different neurodevelopmental disorders, such as autism and epilepsy, and would improve our knowledge of dyslexia etiology.

Furthermore, while left fusiform and right superior temporal gyrus CT abnormalities may be a persistent marker of dyslexia in childhood, this may no longer be the case in adulthood. In a sample of adults ranging in age from 20 to 42, there were no findings of CT abnormalities associated with dyslexia (Frye et al., 2010). A recent longitudinal study of CT trajectory from the autism literature may shed light on this discrepancy (Zielinski et al., 2014). In this study, abnormal CT development in autism varied with age, so that while child participants with autism had thicker cortex than typically developing participants in the occipital lobe, such a difference was not present in adolescence. Further studies that span children and adults are needed to determine whether MRI markers differ across developmental epochs.

Finally, our study design is observational and cross-sectional; therefore, we were not able to investigate within-subject changes in structure that may accompany remediation. However, our study design is comparable to prior cross-sectional studies that report structural differences pre-dating reading experience (Raschle et al., 2011) and those that report no structural differences when reading level is equated (Krafick et al., 2014). Our results should therefore be considered an extension of this debate, with additional support for the former hypothesis.
of an early developmental origin for structural abnormalities (Raschle et al., 2011) rather than an acquired structural variant attributable to insufficient reading experience (Krafnick et al., 2014).

5. Conclusions

Using surface-based analysis of cortical structures, we found increased CT of the left fusiform gyrus and right superior temporal gyrus (extending into the right planum temporalis) in individuals with a history of dyslexia. Thus, we detected structural abnormalities in both the ventral and dorsal processing pathways of the known reading network. These effects were irrespective of remediation status; they were present in children in two dyslexia subgroups who had remediated their reading and/or spelling performance. This suggests that structural differences are associated with early developmental factors leading to dyslexia diagnosis rather than reading level effects, as has been recently suggested (Krafnick et al., 2014). Findings of a “double hit” in the dyslexia group, characterized by cortical anomalies in the left fusiform region and abnormal rightward asymmetry of the superior temporal gyrus, supports the theory that multiple structural abnormalities confer a greater risk of reading impairment (Eckert and Leonard, 2000; Galaburda et al., 1985) than an isolated structural defect.
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